04-630
Data Structures and Algorithms for Engineers

David Vernon
Carnegie Mellon University Africa

vernon@cmu.edu
www.vernon.eu

Lecture 15

Trees

- Types of trees
- Binary Tree ADT
- Binary Search Tree
- Height Balanced Trees
  - AVL Trees
  - Red-Black Trees
- Optimal Code Trees
- Huffman's Algorithm

Red-Black Trees

- The goal of height-balancing is to ensure that the tree is as complete as possible and that, consequently, it has minimal height for the number of nodes in the tree

- As a result, the number of probes it takes to search the tree (and the time it takes) is minimized.

Red-Black Trees

- A perfect or a complete tree with n nodes has height $O(\log_2 n)$
  - So the time it takes to search a perfect or a complete tree with n nodes is $O(\log_2 n)$
- A skinny tree could have height O(n)
  - So the time it takes to search a skinny tree can be O(n)
- Red-Black trees are similar to AVL trees in that they allow us to construct trees which have a guaranteed search time $O(\log_2 n)$

Red-Black Trees

- A red-black tree is a binary tree whose nodes can be coloured either red or black to satisfy the following conditions:

1. **Black condition**: Each root-to-frontier path contains exactly the *same number of black nodes*

2. **Red condition**: *Each red node* that is not the root *has a black parent*

3. Each external node is **black**

Red-Black Trees

- A red-black search tree is a red-black tree that is also a binary search tree

- For all n>= 1, every red-black tree of size n has height $O(\log_2 n)$

  - Thus, red-black trees provide a guaranteed worst-case search time of $O(\log_2 n)$

Red-Black Trees

Red-black tree (condition 3)

Red-Black Trees



Undetermined colour (both red and black satisfy conditions 1 & 2)

Red-black tree (condition 3)

Red-Black Trees



If root was red, then right child would have to be black (because if it was red, by Condition 2 it would have to have a black parent) but then Condition 1, the black condition, would be violated ... so the root can't be red in this case.

Red-Black Trees



Red-Black Trees



To satisfy black condition, either

(1) node a is black and nodes b and c are red, or

(2) nodes a, b, and c are red.

In both cases, a red condition is violated.

Therefore, this is not a red-black tree (i.e. it cannot be coloured in a way that satisfies all three conditions)

Red-Black Trees

- For all n >= 1, every red-black tree of size n has height $O(\log_2 n)$

- Thus, red-black trees provide a guaranteed worst-case search time of $O(\log_2 n)$

Red-Black Trees

- Insertions and deletions can cause red and black conditions to be violated
- Trees then have to be restructured
- Restructuring called a promotion (or rotation)
  - Single promotion
  - 2 promotion

Red-Black Trees

- Single promotion
- Also referred to as
  - single (left) rotation
  - single (right) rotation
- Promotes a node one level

Red-Black Trees



Red-Black Trees

- A single promotion (Left Rotation or Right Rotation) preserves the binary-search condition

- Same manner as an AVL rotation

Red-Black Trees



keys(1) < key(v) < key(u)
key(v) < keys(2) < key(u)
key(u) < keys(3)

Promote v
(Left Rotation)





Promote u
(Right Rotation)



keys(1) < key(v)
key(v) < keys(2) < key(u)
key(v) < key(u) < keys(3)

Red-Black Trees

- 2-Promotion
- Zig-zag promotion
- Composed of two single promotions
- And hence preserves the binary-search condition

Red-Black Trees



Red-Black Trees



Red-Black Trees



Red-Black Trees



Red-Black Trees

Insertions

- A red-black tree can be searched in logarithmic time, worst case
- Insertions may violate the red-black conditions necessitating restructuring
- This restructuring can also be effected in logarithmic time
- Thus, an insertion (or a deletion) can be effected in logarithmic time

Red-Black Trees

- Just as with AVL trees, we perform the insertion by
  - first searching the tree until an external node is reached (if the key is not already in the tree)
  - then inserting the new (internal) node
- We then have to recolour and restructure, if necessary

Red-Black Trees



If new node is red, is the tree red-black?
If the new node is black, is the tree red-black?

Red-Black Trees

- Recolouring:
  - Colour new node red
  - This preserves the black condition
  - but may violate the red condition
- Red condition can be violated only if the parent of an internal node is also red
- Must transform this ‘almost red-black tree’ into a red-black tree

Red-Black Trees



Red-Black Trees

- Recolouring and restructuring algorithm
  - The node u is a red node in a BST, T
  - u is the only candidate violating node
  - Apart from u, the tree T is red-black

Red-Black Trees

- Case 1:
  - u is the root
  - T is red-black



Red-Black Trees

- Case 2:
  - u is not the root
  - its parent v is the root
  - Colour v black
    - Since v is the parent and the root, it is on the path to all external nodes and therefore the black condition is satisfied



Red-Black Trees



Is there anything unexpected about this figure?

Red-Black Trees



Is there anything unexpected about this figure?

Red-Black Trees

- Case 3:
  - u is not the root,
  - its parent v is not the root,
  - v is the left child of its parent w
  - (x is the right child of w,
    i.e. x is v's sibling)



Red-Black Trees

- Case 3.1:
  - x is red
  - Colour v and x black and w red
  - Now repeat the restructuring with u := w

    (since the recolouring of w to red may cause a red violation)

Red-Black Trees



Note:
w must be black,
v must be red,
u must be red.
Why?

Recolour



Red-Black Trees

- u must be red because we colour new nodes that way by convention (to preserve the black condition)

- v must be red because otherwise it would be black and then we wouldn't have violated the red condition and we wouldn't be restructuring anything!

- w must be black because every red node (that isn't the root) has a black parent (and x is red so w must be black)

Red-Black Trees



Red-Black Trees

- Case 3.2:
  - x is black
  - u is the left child of v
  - Promote v
  - Colour v black
  - Colour w red

Red-Black Trees



Restructure and recolour

Promote v;
colour v black;
colour w red

Red-Black Trees



Red-Black Trees

- Case 3.3:
  - x is red
  - u is the right child of v
  - Colour v and x black
  - Colour w red
  - Repeat the restructuring with u := w

    (since the recolouring of w to red may cause a red violation)

Red-Black Trees



Recolour



Red-Black Trees



Red-Black Trees

- Case 3.4:
  - x is black
  - u is the right child of v
  - **Zig-zag promote u**
  - **Colour u black**
  - **Colour w red**

Red-Black Trees



Restructure and recolour

Zig-zag promote u;
colour u black;
colour w red

Red-Black Trees



Red-Black Trees

- Case 4:
  - u is not the root,
  - its parent v is not the root,
  - v is the **right** child of its parent w
  - (x is the **left** child of w, i.e. x is v's sibling)
- This case is symmetric to case 3.